

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,179		01/14/2005	Yugo Hasegawa	018765-202	8504
21839	7590	04/10/2006		EXAM	INER
		IGERSOLL PC	CHOI, LING SIU		
POST OF		RNS, DOANE, SW OX 1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAN	DRIA, '	VA 22313-1404		1713	-
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2000	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\overline{}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,179	HASEGAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ling-Siu Choi	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	nuary 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			3
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	,	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	, ,		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	•	o in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.	
	·	, or	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/521,179

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed January 27, 2006. Claim 2 was canceled and claims 6-7 have been added. Claims 1 and 3-7 are now pending, wherein claims 1, 3, and 6-7 are drawn to a wear-resistant coating film; claim 4 is drawn to a (meth)acrylic copolymer resin; claim 5 is drawn to a coating solution. This Office Action is made as a second non-final rejection because the present rejections are based on a new ground.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4, line 3; claim 7, line 4, the recitation "(meth)avcrylic acid amide" causes confusion. Does (meth)acrylic acid amide read on (meth)acrylamide?

Claim Analysis

Application/Control Number: 10/521,179 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

4. Summary of claim 1:

A wear-resista	nt coating film comprising (meth)acrylic copolymer resin having	
Tg1	determined by a rigid pendulum viscoelastometer	
Tg2	determined by a differenyial scanning calorimeter (DSC)	
Tg3	calculated from a monomer composition constituting the coating film	
wear	determined by a Taber abrasion testing method ≥ 80 times	
resistance		
Tg1	110-250°C	
Tg2	110-250°C	
∆(Tg1-Tg3)	≥ 30°C	
Δ (Tg2-Tg3)	≥ 30°C	

Summary of claim 4:

A (met)acrylic copolymer resin				
having weight average molecular	≥ 20,000			
weight.				
obtained by radical polymerization	(a-1) 4-50 wt% (meth)acrylic acid			
·	(a-2) 0.5-17 wt% (meth)acrylic acid amide			
-	(b) 35-95.5 wt% compound having a reactive			
,	unsaturated bond other than (a-1) and (a-2)			

Claim Rejections

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office

action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller et al. (US 3,048,501).

Miller et al. disclose a copolymer comprising 6-10 wt% of at least one acid having the formula of CH₂= C(COOH)(CH₂)_{n-1}H in which n = 1-2, 6-30 wt% of an amide of acid of the formula, and 60-84 wt% of at least lower alkyl ester of an acid of the formula in which the alkyl group has from 1 to 4 carbon atoms, wherein the viscosity average molecular weight of the copolymer is about 100,000 or more (col. 2, lines 33-37; claim1). Thus, the present claim is anticipated by the disclosure of Miller et al.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Giddings et

Application/Control Number: 10/521,179

Art Unit: 1713

al. (US 4,770,795).

Giddings et al. disclose a copolymer comprising acrylic acid, acrylamide, and sulfophenyl acrylamide, wherein the copolymer has a molecular weightin the range from 1,000 to 50,000 and the acrylamide and sulfophenyl acrylamide are present in a total amount of 5-50 mole percent (claim 1). Thus the present claim is anticipated by the disclosure of Giddings et al.

9. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiroyuki et al. (JP 10-130338).

Hiroyuki et al. disclose a copolymer comprising the contact of 920 g of methyl methacrylate, 555 g of ethyl acrylate, 125 g of 2-ethylhexyl acrylate, 125 g styrene, 250 g of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylate, 412.5 g of N-butoxymethyl acrylamide, and 125 g of acrylic acid, wherein the copolymer has weight average molecular weight of 5,000-100,000 (abstract; [0038]). Thus, the present claim is anticipated by the disclosure of Hiroyuki et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

10. Claims 1, 3, and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Miller et al. (US 3,048,501).

Miller et al. disclose a copolymer comprising 6-10 wt% of at least one acid having the formula of CH_2 =. $C(COOH)(CH_2)_{n-1}H$ in which n = 1-2, 6-30 wt% of an amide of acid

Art Unit: 1713

of the formula, and 60-84 wt% of at least lower alkyl ester of an acid of the formula in which the alkyl group has from 1 to 4 carbon atoms, wherein the viscosity average molecular weight of the copolymer is in the range of about 100,000 to about one million or more (col. 2, lines 33-37; claim1). Miller et al. further disclose that the copolymer mixed with mineral pigments is used to coat a paper product (claim 5). However, Miller et al. are silent on the specific properties represented by the relationships among glass tansition temperatures measured in different methods. In view of the substantially identical copolymer used for coating, the resulting coating film made from the copolymer would possess the claimed properties. Since the PTO does not have proper means to conduct experiments, the burden of proof is now shifted to applicants to show otherwise. *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977); *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

11. Claims 1, 3, and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hiroyuki et al. (JP 10-130338).

Hiroyuki et al. disclose a copolymer comprising the contact of 920 g of methyl methacrylate, 555 g of ethyl acrylate, 125 g of 2-ethylhexyl acrylate, 125 g styrene, 250 g of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylate, 412.5 g of N-butoxymethyl acrylamide, and 125 g of acrylic acid, wherein the copolymer has weight average molecular weight of 5,000-100,000 (abstract; [0038]). Hiroyuki et al. further disclose that the copolymer is used for coating (abstract). However, Hiroyuki et al. are silent on the specific properties represented by the relationships among glass tansition temperatures measured in

Application/Control Number: 10/521,179

Art Unit: 1713

different methods. In view of the substantially identical copolymer used for coating, the

Page 7

resulting coating film made from the copolymer would possess the claimed properties.

Since the PTO does not have proper means to conduct experiments, the burden of

proof is now shifted to applicants to show otherwise. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 195

USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-

1098.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu, can be reach on 571-272-1114.

4 2.000

LING-SUI CHOI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 10, 2006